



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,262	11/25/2003	Bjorn-Harald Sjogren	115719	4105
29078	7590	01/02/2008		
CHRISTIAN D. ABEL ONSAGERS AS POSTBOKS 6963 ST. OLAVS PLASS NORWAY, N-0130 NORWAY			EXAMINER DWIVEDI, MAHESH H	
			ART UNIT 2168	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/02/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,262	SJOGREN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mahesh H. Dwivedi	2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mahesh H. Dwivedi. (3) Kuen Lu (USPTO).
 (2) Ali Imam (Applicant representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 December 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 31 and 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent 6,105,025.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On December 19, 2007, the examiner conducted an interview with applicant's representative, Mr. Ali Imam. Mr. Imam first started out discussing transaction constraints, and how the cited art of Jacobs did not teach them. The examiner stated that dependent claim 34 merely claimed transaction constraints without defining what they comprise. Mr. Imam stated that he would agree to move dependent claim 34 into the independent claim, and would additionally explicitly define what transaction constraints are. Mr. Imam then discussed the differentiating feature of the instant application which comprises the fact that constraint violations are allowed to occur during a transaction, but at the end of the transaction, the state of the system is consistent with the state before the transaction commenced. The examiner stated that adding this limitation would overcome the cited art of Jacobs. The examiner also stated that in terms of patentability, a further search would be required to determine if the instant application is novel.